



Available Transfer Capability Implementation Document (North American Energy Standards Board WEQ-023)

Bonneville Power Administration
Transmission Services

Effective Date: May 15, 2024

Contents V. Outages 3 Outage Planning...... 3 VII. Rated System Path Methodology for BPA's Paths...... 5 Calculating TTC9 Data and Assumptions 9 Calculating Firm Transmission Service for Paths12 Adjustments to Flow-based Path ATC Values31 VIII. Responding to Methodology/Documentation Clarifications and/or Data Requests31

I. Purpose

3

8

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- 4 This Available Transfer Capability Implementation Document (ATCID) addresses all of the
- 5 requirements of North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) Wholesale Electric Quadrant
- 6 business practice standard 023 (WEQ-023), and includes BPA's Postback Methodology.
- 7 This ATCID only applies to ATC calculations through month 13.

II. Definitions

- 9 All capitalized terms used in this ATCID are either contained in NERC's Glossary of Terms,
- 10 NAESB WEQ-000, or are defined in this ATCID.
- 11 Defined terms specific to BPA include:
 - Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS): The Transmission System constructed and operated by BPA and the 31 federally-constructed hydroelectric dams¹ on the Columbia and Snake Rivers, and the Columbia Generating Station nuclear plant. Each entity is separately managed and financed, but the facilities are operated as an integrated power System.
 - Federal Columbia River Transmission System (FCRTS): The FCRTS is comprised of BPA's main grid network Facilities (Network), Interconnections with other Transmission Systems (External Interconnections²), Interties,³ delivery Facilities, subgrid Facilities, and generation Interconnection Facilities within the Pacific Northwest region and with western Canada and California.
 - Long-Term Reservation: a confirmed reservation that has duration greater than or equal to 365 days
 - Short-Term Reservation: a confirmed reservation that has duration less than 365 days

¹ Albeni Falls, Anderson Ranch, Big Cliff, Black Canyon, Boise River Diversion, Bonneville, Chandler, Chief Joseph, Cougar, Detroit, Dexter, Dworshak, Foster, Grand Coulee, Green Peter, Green Springs, Hills Creek, Hungry Horse, Ice Harbor, John Day, Libby, Little Goose, Lookout Point, Lost Creek, Lower Granite, Lower Monumental, McNary, Minidoka, Palisades, Roza and The Dalles

² Northern Intertie, Reno-Alturas, West of Hatwai, West of Garrison and La Grande paths.

³ AC Intertie (NWACI), Pacific DC Intertie (PDCI), and Montana Intertie.

26 III. Overview

- 27 BPA owns and provides Transmission Service over the FCRTS. BPA is registered with NERC as a
- 28 Transmission Operator (TOP) and Transmission Service Provider (TSP), among other
- 29 registrations.

30 Methodology Selected

- Rated System Path Methodology, WEQ-023-2.2
- 32 BPA has elected to use the Rated System Path Methodology to calculate Total Transfer
- Capability (TTC) and Available Transfer Capability (ATC) for all its paths. The description
- of how BPA implements this methodology for these paths is included in this ATCID.

35 ATC Calculations

41

42 43

44

45

48 49

54

36 ATC Calculation Periods

- BPA calculates ATC values using the Rated System Path Methodology for the following time periods:
- Hourly values for up to 168 hours. The next hour may be calculated in subhourly intervals, with the most limiting subhourly ATC value being the hourly value.
 - Daily values for day 3 through day 90. For days 3 to 7 (up to hour 168), the daily ATC value is the most limiting hourly ATC value for that day.
 - Monthly values for month 2 through month 13. For months 2 and 3 (up to day 90), the monthly ATC value is the most limiting daily ATC value for that month.

Frequency of ATC Recalculation

- BPA recalculates ATC on the following frequency, even if the calculated values identified in the ATC equation are unchanged:
 - Hourly, at least once per hour
 - Daily, at least once per day
- Monthly, at least once per day
- 51 BPA may recalculate ATC values more frequently due to changes in Total Transfer
- 52 Capability (TTC), Power Transfer Distribution Factors (PTDFs), system issues or as deemed
- 53 necessary.

IV. Allocation Processes

- 55 BPA allocates transfer capability among multiple owners or users of its 1:1 and flow-based
- 56 paths.

57 Allocations - TTC:

- For paths where allocation agreements exist, BPA allocates TTC according to the contractual rights of the various owners as defined in the agreements.
- Allocation agreements do not exist for two of BPA's flow-based paths that have multiple
- owners: Columbia Injection N>S and Wanapum Injection N>S. For Columbia Injection N>S
- and Wanapum Injection N>S, BPA determines its share of TTC based on BPA-owned
- transmission lines that make up these paths when all lines are in service. During outage
- 64 conditions, individual allocations exist for the loss of each transmission line in the line
- definitions for these paths.

66 Allocations - base ETC:

- 67 BPA allocates base ETC among some of its shared flow-based paths. To allocate base ETC
- for South of Allston N>S, BPA uses the contractual rights defined in the South of Allston
- allocation agreement. To allocate base ETC for the Columbia Injection N>S, Wanapum
- 70 Injection N>S, and Cross Cascades North E>W paths, BPA only models the BPA-owned
- 71 transmission lines that make up these paths in the base ETC cases. BPA does not allocate
- base ETC across any other shared flow-based paths.

73 Allocations - PTDFs:

- BPA calculates PTDFs based on the full path definition of all paths with the exception of
- 75 Columbia Injection N>S, Wanapum Injection N>S and Cross Cascades North E>W. For these
- 76 three paths, BPA calculates PTDFs based on the BPA-owned transmission lines that make
- 77 up these paths.

78 V. Outages

- 79 Outages from all TSPs that are internal or adjacent to BPA's Balancing Authority Area (BAA)
- 80 can be mapped to the WECC base cases.

81 Outage Planning

- 82 Outage plans and the policy are posted to the Outage Plans website at: Outage Coordination -
- 83 Bonneville Power Administration (bpa.gov)

84 Outage Criteria for TTC Calculations

- 85 BPA incorporates outages into the TTC calculations after they have been studied by BPA or
- 86 provided to BPA by another TOP. Generally, BPA studies outages 10 to 16 days prior to the
- 87 outage start date.
- 88 The duration of an outage is not a criteria by which BPA determines which outages to
- 89 incorporate in its daily and monthly TTC calculations. The most conservative hourly TTC
- 90 calculated for a given outage or combination of outages becomes the governing TTC for the
- 91 daily calculation period. Likewise, the most conservative daily TTC for a given outage or
- 92 combination of outages becomes the governing TTC for the monthly calculation period.

VI. Priorities Used to Set TTC

BPA may update assumptions and calculate new TTCs when changes to System conditions will significantly impact those limits and may use those updated assumptions to determine new TTC values. The following hierarchy of priorities categorizes the TTC values based on the time period being calculated and the reason for the change. This prioritization may then be used to revise the path TTC for a given time period if BPA determines that more recent assumptions to calculate TTC values better reflect updated System information:

- Real-time limit (highest priority): The "Real-time limit" priority governs when BPA updates the assumptions of System conditions to calculate TTCs during the Real-time horizon. A change to the TTC calculation with the Real-time priority governs all other priorities. For example, if BPA receives an update that a scheduled outage will be extended by two hours early in the Real-time day, BPA may update the assumptions for the TTC calculation accordingly for the additional two hours and may use those same updated assumptions to update the TTC. If there are multiple real-time updates to assumptions for TTC calculations, the most recent TTC calculated governs.
- Scheduling limit: The "scheduling limit" priority may be used occasionally when the assumptions for the TTC are not governing or an actual scheduling limit has been imposed. If there is more than one scheduling limit, the lowest scheduling limit governs until a Real-time limit TTC is submitted.
- **Pre-schedule forecast:** The "pre-schedule forecast" TTC priority may be used for a path if the assumptions for the TTC calculations are updated for the pre-schedule period. For example, for TTCs calculated for flow-based paths that are derived using nomograms, if the assumptions are re-evaluated just prior to the pre-schedule day to incorporate updated data inputs, the TTC may be updated. The pre-schedule forecast TTC governs over the 'studied' priority.
- **Studied:** The "studied" priority is used when there are outages where a study report has been issued, including those provided by other TOPs. For example, if a study report is issued evaluating assumptions for line outage system conditions, the TTCs in that report govern over any lower-priority TTCs for the duration of the line outage conditions.
- Estimated known limit: The "estimated known limit" priority is used to establish unstudied TTCs or to define seasonal path TTCs that govern over "short-term seasonal" or "Path Rating" priorities.
- Short-term seasonal: The "short-term seasonal" priority is used for TTCs issued for seasonal Path Ratings. As these Ratings may be higher at certain times during the year, the short-term seasonal priority governs over the Path Rating priority. For example, if the longer-term Path Rating for a path is 7800 MW, but seasonally this Rating increases to 8000 MW, the short-term seasonal Rating of 8000 MW governs and is used to set the TTC during the season to which it applies.
- Path Rating: The "Path Rating" priority is used to set base TTCs using either the Rating of the paths, TTCs studied using normal conditions, TTCs calculated for the planning horizon, or all of the above. The lowest value resulting from the above calculations governs for the given time period and is used to set the TTC. For example, if under normal conditions the TTC for a path is 4410 MW, but the TTC calculated for the planning horizon is 4100 MW, the lower TTC of 4100 MW governs and is used to set the TTC for the path.

• Informational limit (lowest priority): The "informational limit" is used while establishing the initial setup of paths within the scheduling and reservation system. The informational limit is equal to the initial Path Rating of the path.

VII. Rated System Path Methodology for BPA's Paths

143 This section describes how BPA implements the Rated System Path methodology for its paths.

144 BPA's Paths

139

140

141

142

147

The following tables list BPA's paths. BPA has a combination of 1:1 and flow-based paths, and uses the Rated System Path methodology to calculate ATC for both.

Table 1, BPA's 1:1 Paths

1:1 Path Name	Direction	1:1 OASIS Path Name
Northern Intertie	N>S	NI_TOTL_N>S
Northern Intertie	S>N	NI_TOTL_S>N
West of Garrison ⁴	E>W	WOGARR_E>W
West of Garrison 5	W>E	WOGARR_W>E
La Grande	W>E	LAGR_W>E
La Grande	E>W	LAGR_E>W
Montana Intertie	E>W	MI_E>W
Reno-Alturas	N>S	RATS_N>S
Reno-Alturas	S>N	RATS_S>N
AC Intertie (NWACI)	N>S	AC_N>S
AC Intertie (NWACI)	S>N	AC_S>N
Pacific DC Intertie (PDCI)	S>N	DC_S>N
Pacific DC Intertie (PDCI)	N>S	DC_N>S
Rock Creek Wind	Gen	ROCKCK_GEN
John Day Wind	Gen	JDWIND_GEN
Satsop Injection	Gen	SATSOP_GEN

ATC Implementation Document - Version 82

^{4 and 5} BPA treats West of Garrison with the same rating as the Montana to Northwest Path (Path 8 in the WECC Path Rating Catalog).

Flow-based Path Name	Direct ion	Flow-based OASIS Path Name	Transmission Line Components	Case used for base ETC calculation
North of Hanford	N>S	NOHANF	Vantage-Hanford #1 500-kV; Grand Coulee-Hanford #1 500-kV; and Shultz-Wautoma #1 500-kV	Heavy load
North of Hanford	S>N	NOHANF_S>N	Hanford-Vantage #1 500-kV; Hanford-Grand Coulee #1 500-kV; and Wautoma-Shultz #1 500-kV	Heavy load
South of Allston	N>S	SOALSN	BPA-Owned Transmission Lines: Allston-Keeler 500-kV; Lexington-Ross 230-kV; and Allston-St. Helens 115-kV; Portland General Electric-Owned Transmission Lines: Trojan-St. Marys 230-kV; and Trojan-Harborton 230-kV; PacifiCorp-Owned Transmission Lines:	Heavy load
			Merwin-St. Johns 115-kV; Astoria-Seaside 115-kV; and Clatsop 230/115-kV	
Raver-Paul	N>S	RAVR_PAUL	Raver-Paul #1 500-kV When Raver-Paul #1 500-kV is out of service, the following lines are monitored: Raver-Paul #1 500-kV; St. Clair-South Tacoma #1 230-kV; Chehalis-Covington #1 230-kV; Frederickson-St. Clair 115-kV; and Electron Heights-Blumaer 115-kV	Heavy load
Cross Cascades North	E>W	C-CASC_N	BPA-Owned Transmission Lines: Schultz-Raver #1, #3, & #4 500-kV; Schultz-Echo Lake #1 500-kV; Chief Joseph-Monroe #1 500-kV; Chief Joseph-Snohomish #3 & #4 345-kV; Rocky Reach-Maple Valley #1 345-kV; Grand Coulee-Olympia #1 287-kV; and Bettas Road-Covington #1 230-kV; Puget Sound Energy-Owned Transmission Line: Rocky Reach-Cascade 230-kV	Heavy load

Flow-based Path Name	Direct ion	Flow-based OASIS Path Name	Transmission Line Components	Case used for base ETC calculation
Cross Cascades South	E>W	C-CACS_S	BPA-Owned Transmission Lines: Big-Eddy-Ostrander #1 500-kV; Ashe-Marion #2 500-kV; Buckley-Marion #1 500-kV; Knight-Ostrander #1 500-kV; John Day-Marion #1 500-kV; McNary-Ross #1 345-kV; Big Eddy-Chemawa #1 230-kV; Big Eddy-McLoughlin #1 & #2 230-kV; Midway-North Bonneville #1 230-kV; Jones Canyon-Santiam #1 230-kV; and Big Eddy-Troutdale #1 230-kV PGE-Owned Transmission Line: Round Butte-Bethel 230-kV	Heavy load
West of McNary	E>W	WOMCNY	Coyote Springs-Slatt #1 500-kV; McNary-Ross #1 345-kV; Harvalum-Big Eddy #1 230-kV; Jones Canyon-Santiam #1 230-kV; and McNary-John Day #2 500-kV	Heavy load
West of Slatt	E>W	WOSLATT	Slatt-Buckley #1 500-kV; and Slatt-John Day #1 500-kV	Heavy load
West of John Day	E>W	WOJD_E>W	John Day-Big Eddy #1 500-kV; John Day-Big Eddy #2 500-kV; and John Day-Marion #1 500-kV	Heavy load
South of Boundary	N>S	SBNDRY_N>S	Boundary-Bell #1 230-kV; Boundary-Bell #3 230-kV; Boundary-Usk #1 230-kV; and Boundary 230/115-kV Transformer #1	Heavy load
Columbia Injection	N>S	CLMBIA_N>S	BPA-Owned Transmission Lines: Columbia-Grand Coulee #1 230-kV; Columbia-Grand Coulee #3 230-kV; Columbia-Rocky Reach #1 230-kV; Columbia-Valhalla #1 115-kV; and Columbia-Valhalla #2 115-kV; Chelan PUD-Owned Transmission Line: Columbia-Rocky Reach #2 230-kV Douglas PUD-Owned Transmission Line: Rapids-Columbia #1 230k	Heavy load

Flow-based Path Name	Direct ion	Flow-based OASIS Path Name	Transmission Line Components	Case used for base ETC calculation
Wanapum Injection	N>S	WANAPM_N>S	BPA-Owned Transmission Line: Vantage-Midway #1 230-kV; Grant PUD-Owned Transmission Line: Priest Rapids-Midway #3 230-kV	Heavy load
West of Lower Monumental (West of LoMo)	E>W	W_LOMO_E>W	Lower Monumental-Ashe 500-kV; Lower Monumental-Hanford 500-kV; and Lower Monumental-McNary 500-kV	Heavy load
North of Echo Lake	S>N	N_ECOL_S>N	Echo Lake-Monroe-SnoKing Tap #1 500-kV; Echo Lake-Maple Valley #1 500-kV; Echo Lake-Maple Valley #2 500-kV; and Covington-Maple Valley #2 230-kV	Heavy load
South of Custer	N>S	SCSTER_N>S	Custer-Monroe #1 500-kV; Custer-Monroe #2 500-kV; Custer-Bellingham #1 230-kV; and Custer-Murray #1 230-kV	Heavy load
North of Grizzly	N>S	GRZN_N>S	Buckley-Grizzly #1 500-kV; John Day-Grizzly #1 500-kV; John Day-Grizzly #2 500-kV; and Maupin-Redmond #1 230-kV	Heavy load
North of Pearl	S>N	NOPE_S>N	BPA-Owned Transmission Line: Pearl-Keeler #1 500-kV; BPA/Portland General Electric Jointly Owned Lines: Pearl-Sherwood #1 & #2 230-kV; Pearl Tap to the Mcloughlin-Sherwood #1 230-kV	Heavy load
West of Hatwai	E>W	WOH_E>W	Hatwai-Lower Granite #1 500-kV; Bell-Grand Coulee #6 500-kV; Bell-Grand Coulee #3 230-kV; Bell-Grand Coulee #5 230-kV; Westside-Grand Coulee #1 230-kV; Dry Creek-Talbot 230-kV; North Lewiston-Tucannon River #1 115-kV; Devils Gap-Stratford 115-kV; Lind-Warden 115-kV; Creston-Bell #1 115-kV; and Dry Gulch-Pomeroy 69-kV	Light load

149 Calculating TTC 150 **Data and Assumptions** 151 When calculating TTC for its paths, BPA uses WECC base cases that utilize data and 152 assumptions consistent with the time period being studied. 153 BPA uses the following data and assumptions in the WECC base cases when calculating 154 TTCs for its paths: 155 BPA models all existing System Elements, including but not limited to any transmission 156 additions and retirements, in their normal operating condition for the assumed initial 157 conditions, up to the time horizon in which BPA begins modeling planned outages. 158 The WECC base cases include generators and phase shifters that meet the guidelines 159 set out in the WECC Data Preparation Manual. 160 BPA uses the seasonal Load forecasts contained in the WECC base cases for each BAA. 161 Generation and Transmission Facility additions and retirements within the WECC footprint are included in the WECC seasonal operating base cases for the season in 162 which they are energized/de-energized, respectively. BPA engineers modify the WECC 163 base cases to reflect the actual dates of energization/de-energization, as well as 164 165 expected generation for the timeframe under study. 166 The WECC base cases include Facility Ratings as provided to WECC by the Transmission 167 Owners and Generator Owners. If Facility changes are made by BPA or another entity, then the base cases will be 168 169 updated to reflect these changes with a mid-season update. 170 The approved seasonal operating base cases that include the Facility changes will not be used until 0 to 16 days prior to the energization or implementation of the Facility 171 172 change. 173 For periods beyond two weeks, the WECC base cases will be updated as necessary to 174 perform seasonal studies for the current or upcoming season in accordance with the 175 current BPA study processes. 176 For all paths, except West of Garrison and Northern Intertie South to North, BPA uses the all lines in service TTC from the relevant seasonal studies when there are no 177 178 studied outages to set the TTC of the path for the corresponding seasonal time 179 periods.

For West of Garrison, for the seasons or time periods in which the seasonal studies have not been completed, the most recent year's seasonal study results will be used

for setting the TTC for the path.

180

181

183 For Northern Intertie South to North, for the seasons or time periods in which the 184 seasonal studies have not been completed, the most recent year's seasonal study 185 results will be used for setting the TTC. BPA uses the minimum TTC from the relevant 186 seasonal studies to set the TTC of the path for periods from the next day and beyond. For the Real-time horizon, when there are no studied outages, BPA uses the 187 188 maximum TTC from the relevant seasonal studies to set the TTC of the path. 189 BPA models Special Protection Systems (BPA uses the term Remedial Action Schemes or RAS) that currently exist or are projected for implementation within the studied 190 191 time horizon. 192 The WECC base cases include all series compensation for each line at the expected 193 operating level. 194 BPA uses no other modeling requirements for calculating TTC in addition to those 195 specified in this document. 196 **Process to Determine TTC** BPA adjusts generation and Load levels, and planned outages, within the WECC power-197 198 flow base cases to determine the TTC that can be simulated for each of its paths, while at 199 the same time satisfying all operations planning criteria contingencies, as follows: 200 BPA studies single and multiple contingencies that are relevant to the path being studied. 201 When modeling normal conditions, BPA models all Transmission Elements in BPA's BAA and 202 adjacent BAAs at or below 100 percent of their continuous Rating. Any reliability 203 constraints requested by another Transmission Operator will also be included. 204 BPA models contingencies as per the current version of "RC West System Operating Limits" Methodology for the Operations Horizon" (RC West SOL Methodology) posted on RC West's 205 206 website. 207 When modeling contingencies, BPA determines TTCs by stressing the system until flows 208 exceed emergency Facility Ratings or voltages fall outside emergency system voltage 209 limits (i.e., the post-Contingency state). BPA does this by simulating transfers performed 210 through the adjustment of generation and load. If a facility does not have an emergency 211 Facility Rating, the normal Facility Rating is used. If there is no emergency system voltage 212 limit, the normal system voltage limit is used. By meeting the criteria in the RC West SOL 213 Methodology, uncontrolled separation should not occur. BPA does not take into account 214 expected transmission uses in the determination of TTC. 215 BPA's paths listed below are bi-directional and have TTCs in both the prevailing and non-216 prevailing direction of flow. 217 Northern Intertie West of Garrison 218 219 La Grande 220 Reno-Alturas

Pacific DC Intertie (PDCI)

AC Intertie (NWACI)

221

223	North of Hanford
224 225	All of BPA's other paths are one directional, in the prevailing direction of flow, and have studied TTCs that are established for the prevailing direction of flow.
226 227 228 229 230 231	For paths where TTC varies due to simultaneous interaction with one or more other paths, BPA develops a nomogram, represented either by an equation or its graphical representation, describing the interaction of the paths and the resulting TTC under specified conditions. BPA then calculates a value, based on that nomogram and forecasted System conditions for the time period studied, to develop its TTC values for the affected paths.
232 233 234 235 236 237 238	BPA or the adjacent path TOP identifies when the new or increased TTC for a path being studied by BPA or the adjacent path TOP has an adverse impact on the TTC value of another existing path by modeling the flow on the path being studied at its proposed new TTC level, while simultaneously modeling the flow on the existing path at its TTC level. In doing so, BPA or the adjacent path TOP honors the reliability criteria described above. BPA or the adjacent path TOP includes the resolution of this adverse impact in its study report for the path.
239 240 241	BPA has Transmission Ownership Agreements where multiple ownerships of Transmission rights exist on a path. TTC for the affected paths is allocated according to contractual ownership rights.
242 243 244 245 246	The ratings for BPA's paths whose ratings were established, known, and used in operation since January 1, 1994, have been re-established using updated methods. BPA studies its paths, with the exception of La Grande, on a periodic basis and reconfirms the rating of each path based on these studies. These ratings are then used to establish the TTC for the path.
247 248 249 250 251	For the La Grande path, BPA uses the Accepted Rating of the path as defined in the WECC Path Rating Catalog. BPA's La Grande path is part of the NW-Idaho path (WECC Path 14). The rating of Path 14 was reconfirmed through an updated study in 2010 when the path definition had to be modified due to the addition of the Hemingway Substation by PacifiCorp and Idaho Power.
252 253 254	BPA establishes the TTC at the lesser of the maximum allowable contractual allocation, or the reliability limit determined by the Transmission Operator. The reliability limit includes, but is not limited to, any System Operating Limit for an ATC path.
255 256 257 258 259 260	BPA creates a study report that describes the TTC applicable to the outages during the studied time period and includes the limiting Contingencies and the limiting cause for the calculated TTC. The RC West SOL Methodology document defines the steps taken and assumptions BPA used to determine TTC for each path. BPA creates a study report for each study it performs. The study report relies on the basic assumptions included in RC West SOL methodology and identifies any changes to those basic assumptions.
261 262 263 264	Information regarding TTCs is shared electronically between the appropriate BPA organizations within seven calendar days of the finalization of the study report for the TTCs. BPA sends a notice to all TSPs for the paths listed in Table 1 where there are multiple TSPs prior to limitations in TTCs.

- A path for which BPA does not perform studies to determine the most current value of TTC is
- 266 Reno Alturas. For Reno-Alturas, NV Energy determines TTC. The TTC is provided to BPA and
- 267 BPA then sends a Notice of Planned Path Limitation.
- 268 Calculating Firm Transmission Service for Paths
- 269 Calculating Firm Existing Transmission Commitments (ETC_F)
- 270 When calculating ETC_F for all time periods for its paths, BPA uses the following algorithm:
- $271 \quad ETC_F = NL_F + NITS_F + GF_F + PTP_F + ROR_F + OS_F$
- 272 Where:
- 273 ETC_F is the firm ETC for the ATC path.
- NL_F is the firm capacity set aside to serve peak Native Load forecast commitments, to include
- losses, and Native Load growth, not otherwise included in Transmission Reliability Margin or
- 276 Capacity Benefit Margin.
- BPA does not have any NL_F, and thus sets NL_F at zero for all of its paths for all time
- periods. All of BPA's firm Transmission obligations are captured in the NITS_F, PTP_F, GF_F
- and ROR_F components of the ETC_F algorithm.
- 280 NITS_F is the firm capacity reserved for Network Integration Transmission Service serving Load,
- to include losses, and Load growth, not otherwise included in Transmission Reliability Margin
- 282 or Capacity Benefit Margin.
- For BPA's 1:1 paths, BPA uses ten year maximum 1 in 10 coincidental peak load forecasts
- to encumber capacity for customers with a designated resource of FCRPS. For customers
- with a designated resource outside of FCRPS, BPA uses the capacity designated for the
- resource to encumber capacity across these paths.
- 287 On the La Grande W>E ATC path, BPA uses a different methodology to encumber capacity
- for customers with a designated resource of FCRPS. BPA encumbers firm capacity based
- on the coincidental 1 in 10 peak forecast, less critical water forecasts of the federal
- generation located in the Idaho BAA. Idaho Power then specifies what will be served
- across La Grande W>E and BPA encumbers this amount for this path.
- For BPA's flow-based paths, BPA accounts for NITS_F obligations with a combination of base
- 293 ETC and interim ETC calculations, as described further in this document.
- 294 **GF**_F is the firm capacity set aside for grandfathered contracts for energy and/or Transmission
- 295 Service, where executed prior to the effective date of a Transmission Service Provider's Open
- 296 Access Transmission Tariff or "safe harbor tariff."
- The amount of GF_F BPA encumbers across its 1:1 paths is based on the terms of each
- individual contract.
- 299 For BPA's flow-based paths, BPA accounts for GF_F obligations with base ETC calculations,
- 300 as described further in this document.

301 PTP_F is the firm capacity reserved for confirmed Point-to-Point Transmission Service. 302 In BPA's calculations for 1:1 paths, PTP_F is equal to the sum of the MW Demands of PTP_F 303 reservations or schedules. 304 For BPA's flow-based paths, BPA accounts for PTP_F obligations with a combination of base 305 ETC and interim ETC calculations, as described further in this document. 306 For Redirects from conditional short-term firm parent reservations, BPA's ETC accounts 307 for the parent reservation until the Redirect is confirmed on OASIS. Once the Redirect is 308 confirmed, BPA's ETC only accounts for the Redirect. 309 For Redirects from long-term firm parent reservations or unconditional short-term firm 310 parent reservations, BPA's ETC accounts for both the parent reservation and the Redirect 311 reservation until the Redirect itself is unconditional. Once the Redirect is unconditional, 312 BPA's ETC only accounts for the Redirect. 313 In some cases, BPA has PTP_F contracts that give customers the right to schedule between 314 multiple Points of Receipt (PORs) and Points of Delivery (PODs). However, the customer can only schedule up to the MW amount specified in their contract. Multiple reservations 315 316 are created for these special cases to allow BPA to model each POR-to-POD combination. 317 The amount encumbered for these cases does not exceed the total PTP_F rights specified in 318 the contracts. 319 **ROR**_F is the firm capacity reserved for roll-over rights for contracts granting Transmission 320 Customers the right of first refusal to take or continue to take Transmission Service when the 321 Transmission Customer's Transmission Service contract expires or is eligible for renewal. 322 BPA assumes that all of its Transmission Service Agreements eligible to roll-over in the 323 future will be rolled over. If a Transmission Customer chooses not to exercise its roll-over 324 rights by the required deadline, BPA no longer encumbers capacity for roll-over rights for 325 that Transmission Customer. 326 OS_F is the firm capacity reserved for any other service(s), contract(s), or agreement(s) not 327 specified above using Firm Transmission Service as specified in the ATCID. 328 BPA has no OS_F and thus sets OS_F at zero for all of its paths for all time periods. All of 329 BPA's firm Transmission obligations are captured in the NITS_F, PTP_F, GF_F and ROR_F 330 components of the ETC_F algorithm. 331 Although BPA uses the above algorithm to calculate ETC_F for all of its paths, BPA's ETC_F 332 calculation methodology differs between its 1:1 and flow-based paths. For 1:1 paths, BPA 333 calculates ETC_F by assuming that 1 MW of reserved firm capacity equals 1 MW of ETC_F across 334 that path. The POR/POD combinations for 1:1 ATC paths that impact ETC_F can be found under 335 the Transmission Availability section of BPA's website. For the flow-based paths, BPA 336 calculates ETC_F by summing the base ETC from power-flow ETC studies with interim ETC_F 337 calculated using PTDFs.

338	Determining base ETC for Flow-Based Paths
339 340 341	Use of WECC Base Cases to Determine Base ETC BPA uses the WECC seasonal base cases and modifies them to calculate the base ETC for its flow-based paths. BPA refers to these base cases as ETC Cases.
342	Determining Base ETC for Heavy Load Base Cases
343 344 345 346	BPA creates monthly heavy load ETC Cases to calculate base ETC values. BPA's ETC cases are produced using a power flow model that computes how much power will flow over each flow-based path for the assumed Load and generation levels for each time period studied. Counterflows are inherently modeled in these base cases.
347 348	BPA uses the following assumptions to create heavy load ETC Cases for its base ETC calculations:
349 350 351 352	System topology: Normal operating conditions are used. BPA uses the WECC Winter seasonal case for its November through March ETC base cases, the WECC Spring seasonal case for its April and May ETC base cases, and the WECC Summer seasonal case for its June through October ETC base cases.
353 354 355	Load: BPA uses loads contained in the WECC seasonal base cases for the time periods being studied, along with any updates to those loads BPA may have made after the WECC base cases were received from WECC.
356 357	 NITS_F, PTP_F and GF_F: BPA assumes a 1-in-2 year monthly peak load forecast in all its monthly ETC cases
358 359	Generation: For the generators in BPA's BAA or directly interconnected to BPA, BPA uses the following generation assumptions:
360 361 362	FCRPS : For the FCRPS resources serving NITS _F , PTP _F , and GF _F Long-Term Reservations, generation levels are set using a multiple-step process. For all time periods studied, BPA uses the following process:
363 364 365 366 367 368	• The Columbia Generating Station is assumed to be on-line at full load in the ETC cases. Generation levels at the Libby, Hungry Horse, Dworshak, and Albeni Falls projects are based on the 90th percentile rate case generation values for these projects. The generation levels at the Willamette Valley projects ⁶ are set at a monthly fleet-aggregate lower 10th percentile of Heavy Load Hour block generation from the planning period of record and adjusted as needed to

 $^{^6}$ Willamette Valley projects include: Big Cliff, Cougar, Detroit, Dexter, Foster, Green Peter, Hills Creek, Lookout Point, and Lost Creek.

369 accurately reflect operations that BPA knows are in place. Nameplate Adjusted **Method:** When creating heavy load ETC Cases, generation levels for all other 370 371 federal hydro projects⁷ are set by first determining the nameplate for each project 372 and then adjusting such nameplates by outages forecasted for the particular plants. Next in the month of August, the Lower Snake plants (Lower Granite, 373 374 Lower Monumental, Little Goose, and Ice Harbor) are capped at the observed project outflow over the past ten Augusts. Then multiple generation scenarios are 375 modelled by stressing one of three different "zones" of Federal hydro resources to 376 377 the nameplate adjusted generation levels described above and scaling the 378 generation at the remaining Federal hydro projects to match the sum of the 379 demands for all contracts that call out non-specific Federal hydroelectric projects 380 as PORs after adjusting these demands for the portion served by Columbia Generating Station, Libby, Hungry Horse, Dworshak, Albeni Falls, and the 381 382 Willamette Valley projects. The Federal PTP demands at each project are then 383 added to this result to obtain the final assumed generation level for each Federal 384 hydro project.

Non-Federal Thermal Generators: Non-federal thermal generators associated with PTP_F, GF_F and NITS_F Transmission Service for BPA's area and all adjacent TSP areas are set at up to the contract Demand.

Wind Generators:

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402 403

404

- **PTP**_F: Wind generators associated with PTP_F Long-Term Reservations are set at the following depending on the scenarios being run:
 - Modeled on at 100 percent of the contract demand for the wind generator; or
 - Modeled off
- NITS_F: The flow-based path impacts of wind generators identified as
 designated network resources in NITS_F contracts or in the NT Resources
 Memorandum of Agreement in BPA's area are determined on a flow-based
 path-by-flow-based path basis and set at the greater of the following:
 - The wind generators modeled on at the designated amount of the wind generators; or,
 - The wind generators modeled off and replaced by increasing the FCRPS generation level by the designated amount of the wind generators using the Nameplate Adjusted Method for all ETC cases described above.

Wind generators designated as network resources in NITS_F contracts for all adjacent TSPs are modeled up to the designated amount.

ATC Implementation Document - Version 82

⁷ Federal hydro projects include: Grand Coulee, Chief Joseph, Lower Granite, Lower Monumental, Little Goose, Ice Harbor, McNary, John Day, The Dalles, Bonneville.

405 • GF_F: BPA and all of BPA's adjacent TSPs have no GF_F contracts for wind 406 generators. 407 **Behind the Meter Generators:** Non-federal resources that do not require Transmission Service over the FCRTS and that are behind the meter are set up to 408 409 levels used in BPA's process for power system planning studies. 410 Mid-Columbia Hydro Projects: Generation levels at the non-federal Mid-Columbia 411 hydro projects are set up to 90 percent of their historical output by season. 412 When creating heavy load ETC cases, if there is more generation than load plus 413 committed exports in the base case, BPA reduces excess generation to bring 414 generation and load into balance in order to solve the power flow model. This 415 generation reduction is done by reducing all excess generation pro rata, except for the stressed FCRPS zone. 416 417 Starting with the November 2023 studies and going forward, BPA reduces all excess 418 generation by aggregating generators by fuel type, and scaling the aggregated fuel 419 type groups. Generation is then reduced based on how each generator participates as 420 part of the scaled generation fleet, with the exception of the stressed FCRPS zone. 421 Columbia Generation Station is always modeled on, in both methodologies. 422 When creating heavy load ETC cases, if there is more load and committed exports than 423 generation in the ETC base case, BPA reduces exports on the AC Intertie and Pacific DC Intertie in the ETC base case. This is done to solve the power flow model. 424 425 Sensitivity Studies for Heavy Load Base Cases 426 In calculating its base ETC values, BPA runs ETC case scenarios for three different 427 sensitivities: the Canadian Entitlement Return (CER) obligation modeled on or off, 428 wind resources designated to serve PTP_F and NITS_F on or off, and stressing the three different zones of the FCRPS. 429 430 For the FCRPS scenarios, the three "zones" that are stressed individually in the scenarios are made up of the following projects: (i) Upper Columbia zone includes 431 432 Grand Coulee and Chief Joseph; (ii) Lower Snake zone includes Lower Monumental, 433 Lower Granite, Little Goose, and Ice Harbor; and (iii) Lower Columbia zone includes McNary, John Day, The Dalles and Bonneville. 434 435 For the CER Scenarios, BPA models the FCRPS generators delivering or not delivering 436 energy to Canada in the amount specified in the Canadian Entitlement Agreement. 437 In the CER on scenarios, BPA models the exports to Canada at the Canadian 438 Entitlement Agreement contract level. The FCRPS generation is modeled using the 439 Nameplate Adjusted Method. 440 In the CER off scenarios, BPA models imports from Canada at the contract rights that 441 customers have across the Northern Intertie N>S. The FCRPS generation is also 442 modeled using the Nameplate Adjusted Method.

443 For the wind resource scenarios, see above for a description of the base ETC 444 assumptions for wind generators serving PTP_F and NITS_F. 445 Therefore, in its heavy load base ETC sensitivity analysis, BPA models the following 6 446 scenarios: 447 1. Wind modeled off/Upper Columbia stressed 2. Wind modeled off/Lower Snake stressed 448 449 3. Wind modeled off/Lower Columbia stressed 4. Wind modeled on/Upper Columbia stressed 450 451 5. Wind modeled on/Lower Snake stressed 452 6. Wind modeled on/Lower Columbia stressed 453 All scenarios are run with CER modeled on and off for all months. 454 BPA uses the highest base ETC value calculated from these scenarios in its firm ATC 455 calculations across the flow-based paths. BPA uses the lowest base ETC value from 456 these scenarios in its non-firm ATC calculations across the flow-based paths. 457 Determining Base ETC and Sensitivities for Light Load Base Cases 458 BPA uses the WECC Winter seasonal light load case as the starting point for its Winter 459 seasonal light load ETC base case. The ETC from this case is used as the base ETC for the months of November through March. 460 461 BPA uses the WECC Summer seasonal light load case as the starting point for its Summer light load ETC base case. The ETC from the Summer case is used as the base 462 ETC for the months of June through October. 463 464 If a WECC Spring seasonal light load case is available, BPA uses that case as the starting point for its Spring seasonal light load ETC base case. The ETC from this case 465 466 is used as the base ETC for the months of April and May. If the WECC Spring seasonal light load case is not available, the higher of the base ETCs from either the Winter or 467 468 Summer case are used as the base ETC for April and May. 469 BPA uses the following assumptions in light load ETC base cases: 470 a. System topology: Normal operating conditions are used. 471 b. Loads: Loads from the WECC light load cases are used. For Montana loads only, BPA compares the loads in the WECC seasonal light load case with the seasonal 472 473 light loads supplied by Montana Power, and uses the lowest of the two values in 474 order to properly stress the light load case. 475 c. Generation: BPA uses generation assumptions from historical data. Canadian 476 Entitlement is modeled as delivering energy to Canada in the amount specified 477 in the Canadian Entitlement Agreement. 478 There are two sensitivity studies performed for the light load ETC base cases: 479 a. Federal generation east of the path is increased, and a corresponding amount

of federal generation west of the path is reduced

481 b. Federal generation east of the path is reduced, and a corresponding amount of 482 federal generation west of the path is increased 483 BPA uses the highest base ETC value calculated from these scenarios in its firm ATC 484 calculations across the flow-based paths where light load cases are utilized. BPA uses the lowest base ETC value from these scenarios in its non-firm ATC calculations across 485 the flow-based paths where light load cases are utilized. 486 487 Calculating Interim ETC_F for Flow-based Paths 488 To calculate the impacts for all NITS_F and PTP_F reservations that were not modeled in the 489 base ETC cases, BPA uses PTDF analysis on the demand in each reservation. PTDF analysis 490 is the fraction of energy (expressed as a percentage or as a decimal) that will flow across 491 BPA's monitored flow-based paths as that energy is injected at a POR (or source) relative 492 to a slack bus, and withdrawn at a POD (or sink) relative to a slack bus, for each flow-493 based path. 494 PTDF impacts are calculated as per BPA's Transmission Service Requests Evaluation 495 business practice. If a reservation's impact on a flow-based path is determined to be de 496 minimis per the Transmission Service Requests Evaluation business practice, then BPA 497 deems the impact of the reservation to be zero when calculating ETC_F used in the ATC_F 498 calculation 499 The sum of these positive impacts is referred to as the interim ETC_F value, and is added to 500 the base ETC values to produce a final ETC_F value for each time period for each flow-501 based path. 502 **Outages in PTDF Calculations** 503 BPA calculates PTDFs by adjusting the WECC base cases to include transmission 504 outages in BPA's outage system for BPA's area and any adjacent TSP areas. Transmission outages for Transmission Lines, sections of Transmission Lines, 505 506 transformers and taps are used to set branches as open in the appropriate base 507 case for the hour being calculated. 508 When the Rayer-Paul 500-kV line is out of service, the PTDFs that BPA calculates and 509 uses for the Raver-Paul path are based on the monitored lines for this path that are 510 outlined in Table 2. This allows BPA to properly manage the Raver-Paul path in this outage situation. 511 512 **Outage Criteria in ETC Calculations** 513 BPA uses the outage planning timeline described in the "Outages" section. The 514 following criteria determine which outages are incorporated into BPA's hourly, daily 515 and monthly ETC calculations: 516 **Hourly ETC Calculations**

For its hourly ETC calculations, BPA uses hourly PTDFs published at least once per

day.

517

519 Daily ETC Calculations

For its daily ETC calculations, BPA uses the most recent PTDFs published for the hour ending 11 of each day, since hour ending 11 tends to have the highest coincidence of outages. Therefore all Transmission outages scheduled to occur during the hour ending 11, regardless of the duration of the outage, impact daily ETC calculations.

BPA includes Transmission outages in daily ETC calculations beyond the 10- to 16-day planned outage study period if the outage is officially scheduled in BPA's outage system.

Monthly ETC Calculations

For its monthly ETC calculations, BPA uses the most recent daily PTDFs published for the first Tuesday of that month. BPA includes Transmission outages in monthly ETC calculations beyond the 10- to 16-day planned outage study period if the outage is officially scheduled in BPA's outage system.

Source/POR and Sink/POD Identification and Mapping

In the ETC components of its flow-based path ATC calculations, BPA accounts for source and sink for Transmission Service through the following processes:

BPA maps the source/POR and sink/POD to the WECC base cases. In this mapping, BPA has assigned network bus points that represent the primary interface for Interconnection with specific generation projects, adjacent electrical Systems or Load-serving entities and trading hubs. Some adjacent electrical Systems have multiple Interconnection points deemed as PORs/sources or PODs/sinks. The mapping of these points is published in the Transmission Service Contract Points list on BPA's OASIS homepage.

BPA calculates weighted PTDFs for Sources/PORs as follows:

- 1. The PTDF weighting for the FCRPS/BPAPower PTDF varies by time period and path based on stress scenarios. The PTDF weighting is derived from generation forecasts of the federal resources, for calculations for the next hour through approximately two weeks. Beyond this time frame, BPA derives the weighting of the PTDF by applying the generation dispatch determined in the ETC Cases.
- 2. BPA derives the PTDF weighting for the Mid-Columbia bus point by applying the generation dispatch determined in the ETC Cases.
- 3. BPA has grouped the generators in its adjacent BAAs based on the primary interface between each BAA and the generation projects within that BAA (excluding some remote generators that are scheduled via NERC e-Tag). These groupings are assigned weighted PTDFs that represent how the generators participate in the group and are used to evaluate transactions within and between adjacent BAAs that do not include BPAT. BPA derives the PTDF weightings for these points from BAA-provided generation estimates or by applying the generation dispatch determined in the ETC Cases if generation estimates are not available. In

the ETC Cases, these generators are modeled up to the long-term firm Transmission rights associated with the generators.

BPA calculates weighted PTDFs for Sinks/PODs as follows:

- 1. BPA has weighted PTDFs for loads in its adjacent BAAs based on the primary interface between each BAA and the load within that BAA. The weighting is based on how the load is distributed in the BAA.
- 2. BPA calculates a weighted PTDF to account for unscheduled Network Integration Transmission Service loads in BPA's BAA that are served from the FCRPS. The weighting is based on the individual load forecasts for the time period being calculated.
- 3. BPA calculates a weighted load for all of the BPA Power Services customers that are served via Network Integration Transmission Service agreements. The weighting is based on the individual load forecasts for the time period being calculated.
- 4. BPA calculates a weighted load for PNGC Power, which is a Joint Operating Entity made up of several cooperative utilities. The weighting is based on the individual load forecasts for the time period being calculated.

BPA calculates one weighted PTDF that applies to the following Source/POR and Sink/POD:

- 1. BPA calculates a weighed PTDF for the Western Energy Imbalance Market. This weighting is based on the percentage of Automatic Generation Control response (which could be zero) carried by each plant in the FCRPS.
- 581 Calculating Firm Available Transfer Capability (ATC_F)
- When calculating ATC_F for its paths for all time periods, BPA uses the following algorithm:

```
ATC_{F} = TTC - ETC_{F} - CBM - TRM + Postbacks_{F} + Counterflows_{F}
```

584 Where:

562

563

564 565

566

567 568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

578

579

- 585 **ATC**_F is the firm Available Transfer Capability for the ATC path for that period for which ATC_F is being calculated.
- 587 TTC is the Total Transfer Capability of the ATC path for that period.
- 588 **ETC**_F is the sum of existing firm commitments for the ATC path as specified in WEQ-023 during that period for which ATC_F is being calculated.
- For ATC_F calculations for all time periods, BPA divides ETC_F into the following variables within its ATC software:
- 592 ETC_F = LRES + SRES + LETC SADJ/ETC Adjustments

593	Where:
594	LRES is the sum of positive impacts of BPA's Long-Term Reservations.
595	SRES is the sum of positive impacts of BPA's Short-Term Reservations.
596 597 598 599	LETC is used to ensure that the amount of NITS _F , GF_F , PTP_F and ROR_F capacity BPA sets aside in the LRES variable for contracts where BPA gives customers the right to schedule the capacity reserved between multiple PORs and PODs does not exceed the total capacity specified in those contracts.
600 601 602 603 604 605	LETC is also used to align the ETC calculated in the power flow base case with additional PTDF calculations in order to balance to the standard OATI calculation. This adjustment is derived by comparing two values: a) the impacts of the confirmed PTP $_F$, GF $_F$, NITS $_F$ and ROR $_F$ Long-Term Reservations derived from the base ETC Cases and b) the impacts of the same reservations calculated using PTDF Analysis for each flow-based path. The adjustment for each flow-based path is equal to the difference of these two values.
606 607 608 609	BPA has begun to transition the modeling of Conditional Firm reservations into the ETC cases. This transition started with the Summer 2024 studies and will be completed with the Spring 2025 cases. The impacts of Conditional Firm reservations are beings managed either through LETC or SADJ/ETC Adjustments during the transition period.
610 611	SADJ/ETC Adjustments is the variable BPA uses to make adjustments to ETC_F not captured in LRES or SRES.
612 613 614 615 616 617	BPA applies one such adjustment to allow for deferral competitions, as required in Section 17.7 of BPA's OATT. When a deferral reservation is confirmed, BPA applies an SADJ/ETC Adjustment to hold out capacity for the time period deferred, starting at the latter of five months out or the service commencement date of the original reservation, to allow for a competition. At four months out, if no competition is identified, the SADJ/ETC Adjustment is modified to release the capacity for the fourth month out.
618 619	BPA uses a SADJ/ETC Adjustment to account for a portion of the firm TRM that BPA applies on the NI S>N.
620 621 622	BPA also uses SADJ/ETC Adjustments to ensure accurate accounting of ETC_F . These adjustments may be performed to account for situations such as data modeling corrections, and are noted in the descriptions of the adjustments.

The following diagram illustrates how the variables in BPA's ATC software correspond to the variables in the ETC_F algorithm.

ETC _F =	NITS _F	+	GF_F	+	PTP_F	+	ROR _F
	\		\		\downarrow		1
	LRE S		LRES		LRES		LRES
	+				+		
	SRES				SRES		
	+		+		+		+
	LETC		LETC		LETC		LETC
	-		-		-		-
	SADJ/ETC		SADJ/ETC		SADJ/ETC		SADJ/ETC
	Adjustments		Adjustments		Adjustments		Adjustments

625 CBM is the Capacity Benefit Margin for the ATC path during that period.

BPA does not maintain CBM and thus sets CBM at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.

TRM is the Transmission Reliability Margin for the ATC path during that period.

The description of how BPA implements TRM can be found in BPA's TRMID, which is posted on BPAs website.

Postbacks_F are changes to firm Available Transfer Capability due to a change in the use of Transmission Service, as defined in WEQ-023.

BPA automatically recalculates ETC_F to account for changes to Transmission Service Requests (such as request types of Recall and Redirect and annulments). Since these types of changes to Transmission Service Requests are captured in ETC_F, BPA treats Postbacks_F as zero for all time periods when calculating ATC_F.

Counterflows_F are adjustments to firm Available Transfer Capability as determined by the Transmission Service Provider and specified in their ATCID.

BPA does not include confirmed Transmission reservations, expected interchange or internal flow counter to the direction of the path being calculated in its ATC_F calculations. BPA's rationale is that it does not want to offer firm ATC due to counterflow that may not be scheduled as this could lead to curtailments of Firm Transmission Service in the Realtime horizon. Therefore BPA sets Counterflows_F at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.

For flow-based paths, counterflows are automatically modeled in the base ETC cases. In instances where the power flow study results in a negative base ETC value, BPA uses zero as the base ETC for purposes of calculating ATC_F. This is done to ensure that BPA does not make capacity available as a result of counterflows that may or may not materialize in real-time.

- 650 Calculating Non-Firm Transmission Service for BPA's Paths
- BPA calculates ETC_{NF} and ATC_{NF} for each of its six non-firm Transmission products. The six
- non-firm products are: Secondary Network (NITS_{NF6}), Monthly Non-Firm PTP (PTP_{NF5}), Weekly
- Non-Firm PTP (PTP_{NF4}), Daily Non-Firm PTP (PTP_{NF3}), Hourly Non-Firm PTP (PTP_{NF2}) and
- 654 Secondary Non-Firm Hourly PTP (PTP_{NF1}).
- 655 Calculating Non-Firm Existing Transmission Commitments (ETC_{NF})
- 656 BPA calculates ETC_{NF} for all time periods and paths using the following algorithm:
- $ETC_{NF} = NITS_{NF} + GF_{NF} + PTP_{NF} + OS_{NF}$
- 658 ETC_{NF} is calculated for each of BPA's six non-firm Transmission products as follows:
- 1. ETC_{NF6}: includes the NITS_{NF6} transmission product
- 2. ETC_{NF5}: includes the NITS_{NF6} and PTP_{NF5} transmission products
- 661 3. ETC_{NF4}: includes the NITS_{NF6}. PTP_{NF5} and PTP_{NF4} transmission products
- 4. ETC_{NF3}: includes the NITS_{NF6}, PTP_{NF5}, PTP_{NF4}, and PTP_{NF3} transmission products
- 5. ETC_{NF2}: includes the NITS_{NF6}, PTP_{NF5}, PTP_{NF4}, PTP_{NF3} and PTP_{NF2} transmission products
- 664 6. ETC_{NF1}: includes the NITS_{NF6}, PTP_{NF5}, PTP_{NF4}, PTP_{NF3}, PTP_{NF2} and PTP_{NF1} transmission products
- 665 Where:
- 666 **ETC**_{NF} is the non-firm ETC for the ATC path.
- 667 NITS_{NF} is the non-firm capacity reserved for Secondary Network Transmission Service, to
- 668 include losses, and Load growth not otherwise included in Transmission Reliability Margin or
- 669 Capacity Benefit Margin.
- 670 In BPA's calculations, this is comprised of the NITS_{NF6} Transmission product. BPA's NITS_{NF6}
- calculation does not include losses or Load growth, since losses and Load growth are
- already encumbered as firm capacity in NITS_F.
- 673 **GF**_{NF} is the non-firm capacity set aside for grandfathered contracts for energy and/or
- 674 Transmission Service, where executed prior to the effective date of a Transmission Service
- Provider's Open Access Transmission Tariff or "safe harbor tariff."
- BPA does not have any grandfathered non-firm Transmission Service obligations and thus
- sets GF_{NF} at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.
- 678 **PTP**_{NF} is non-firm capacity reserved for confirmed Point-to-Point Transmission Service.
- Depending on the ETC_{NF} being calculated, PTP_{NF} will include the PTP_{NF5}, PTP_{NF4}, PTP_{NF3}.
- PTP_{NF2} and PTP_{NF1} Transmission products.

- 681 **OS**_{NF} is the non-firm capacity reserved for any other service(s), contract(s), or agreement(s)
- not specified above using non-firm transmission service as specified in the ATCID.
- BPA has no OS_{NF} and thus sets OS_{NF} at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.
- 684 ETC_{NF} for 1:1 paths is calculated by assuming that 1 MW of reserved and/or scheduled capacity
- results in 1 MW of impact across the 1:1 path. The POR/POD combinations for 1:1 ATC paths
- that impact ETC_{NF} can be found under the Transmission Availability section of BPA's website.
- When calculating ETC_{NF} for flow-based paths, BPA sums the positive impacts of reservations
- and/or schedules as determined by PTDF analysis, per BPA's Transmission Service Requests
- Evaluation business practice. The treatment of de minimis impacts in ETC_{NF} is covered within
- the Calculating Non-Firm Available Transfer Capability section below.
- 691 Calculating Non-Firm Available Transfer Capability (ATC_{NF})
- 692 BPA calculates ATC_{NF} for its paths for two horizons: Real-time and Beyond Real-time. The
- 693 Real-time horizon begins at 10 p.m. each day for the 24 hours in the next day. The Beyond
- Real-time horizon includes hourly for the hours after those included in the Real-time period
- as well as daily and monthly calculations.
- 696 BPA calculates ATC_{NF} for all time periods and paths using the following algorithm:
- $697 \quad \text{ATC}_{_{\text{NF}}} = \text{TTC} \text{ETC}_{_{\text{F}}} \text{ETC}_{_{\text{NF}}} \text{CBM}_{_{\text{S}}} \text{TRM}_{_{\text{U}}} + \text{Postbacks}_{_{\text{NF}}} + \text{Counterflows}_{_{\text{NF}}}$
- 698 ATC_{NF} is calculated for each of BPA's six non-firm Transmission products as follows:
- 1. $ATC_{NF6} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF6} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 700 2. $ATC_{NF5} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF5} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 3. $ATC_{NF4} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF4} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 702 4. $ATC_{NF3} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF3} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 5. ATC_{NF2} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF2} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}
- 6. ATC_{NF1} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF1} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}
- 705 Table 3 outlines the differences in how the ATC_{NF} algorithm components are calculated
- 706 between the Beyond Real-time and Real-time time horizons.

Table 3, ATC_{NF} Calculation for Beyond Real-Time and Real-Time Horizons

Algorithm Component	Beyond Real-time	Real-time
TTC	As described in TTC section in the ATCID	Same
ETC _F	Calculated using reservations and base ETC cases for flow-based paths • De minimis impacts are treated as zero in ETC _F	Calculated using schedules De minimis impacts are included in ETC _F
ETC _{NF}	Calculated using reservations • De minimis impacts are treated as zero in ETC _{NF}	Calculated using reservations until scheduled, then calculated using schedules • De minimis impacts are included in ETC _{NF} for both reservations and schedules
CBMs	N/A	N/A
TRM _U	As described in the TRMID	Same
Postbacks _{NF}	Zero since ETC _{NF} is recalculated to capture changes to the Transmission Service Requests	Zero since ETC _{NF} is recalculated to capture changes to the Transmission Service Requests and/or schedules, with the exception of AC N>S
Counterflows _{NF}	Included with schedules	Same

708 Where:

707

- ATC_{NF} is the non-firm Available Transfer Capability for the ATC path for that period for which ATC_{NF} is being calculated.
- 711 BPA calculates six ATC_{NF} values as described above.
- 712 TTC is the Total Transfer Capability of the ATC path for that period.
- 713 **ETC**_F is the sum of existing firm commitments for the ATC path as specified in WEQ-023 during that period for which ATC_{NF} is being calculated.
- 715 The section below outlines how BPA calculates ETC_F for all of its paths for the beyond 716 Real-time and the Real-time horizons.

717 ETC_F for the Beyond Real-Time Horizon

- Reservations, and base ETC cases for flow-based paths, are used to calculate ETC_F for the Beyond Real-time horizon. When calculating ETC_F for this horizon, *de minimis* impacts of
- reservations across flow-based paths are deemed to be zero.
- 721 For ATC_{NF} calculations for the beyond Real-time horizon, BPA utilizes the following
- 722 variables within its ATC software to calculate ETC_F:

723 ETC_F = LRES + SRES - SADJ/ETC Adjustments + NFETC 724 Where: 725 **LRES** is the sum of positive impacts of BPA's Long-Term Reservations. 726 **SRES** is the sum of positive impacts of BPA's Short-Term Reservations. 727 SADJ/ETC Adjustments is the variable used to make adjustments to ETC_F not captured 728 in LRES or SRES. 729 BPA applies one such adjustment to allow for deferral competitions, as required in 730 Section 17.7 of BPA's OATT. When a deferral reservation is confirmed, BPA applies a 731 SADJ/ETC Adjustment to hold out capacity for the time period deferred, starting at 732 the latter of five months out or the service commencement date of the original reservation, to allow for a competition. At four months out, if no competition is 733 734 identified, the SADJ/ETC Adjustment is modified to add back capacity for the fourth 735 month out. 736 BPA uses SADJ/ETC Adjustments to ensure accurate accounting of ETC_F. These adjustments may be performed to account for situations such as data modeling 737 738 corrections, and are noted in the descriptions of the adjustments. 739 **NFETC** is used to ensure that the amount of NITS_F, GF_F, PTP_F and ROR_F capacity BPA 740 sets aside in the LRES variable for contracts where BPA gives customers the right to 741 schedule the capacity reserved between multiple PORs and PODs does not exceed the 742 total capacity specified in those contracts. 743 NFETC is also used to align the ETC calculated in the power flow base case along with 744 additional PTDF calculations in order to balance to the standard OATI calculation. 745 This adjustment is derived by comparing two values: a) the impacts of the PTP_F, GF_F and NITS_F Long-Term Reservations derived from the base ETC Cases and b) the impacts 746 747 of the same reservations calculated using PTDF Analysis for each flow-based path. The adjustment for each flow-based path is equal to the difference of these two values. 748 749 BPA has begun to transition the modeling of Conditional Firm reservations into the ETC 750 cases. This transition started with the Summer 2024 studies and will be completed 751 with the Spring 2025 cases. The impacts of Conditional Firm reservations are beings

managed either through NFETC or SADJ/ETC Adjustments during the transition period.

The following diagram illustrates how the variables in BPA's ATC software correspond to the variables in the ETC_F algorithm for the Beyond Real-time horizon.

ETC _F =	NITS _F	+ GF _F	+	PTP _F	+	ROR _F
	\	\		\		\
	LRES	LRES		LRES		LRES
	+			+		
	SRES			SRES		
	+	+		+		+
	NFETC	NFETC		NFETC		NFETC
	-	-		-		-
	SADJ/ETC Adjustments	SADJ/ETC Adjustments		SADJ/ETC Adjustments		SADJ/ETC Adjustments

- 755 ETC_F for the Real-Time Horizon
- For ATC_{NF} calculations for the Real-time horizon, BPA divides ETC_F into the following variables within its ATC software:
- 758 ETC_F = SCH^{+}_{7} + ASC^{+}_{7} + RADJ/ETC Adjustment
- Schedules are used to calculate ETC_F for the Real-time horizon. When calculating ETC_F for this horizon, *de minimis* impacts of schedules across flow-based paths are included in ETC_F .
 - Where:

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

- **SCH** $^{+}_{7}$ is the sum of the positive impacts of schedules that reference confirmed NITS_F, GF_F and PTP_F reservations for the ATC path for that period. The energy profile of the schedule is used except for the schedule types of Dynamic, Capacity and Pseudo-tie.
- $\mathsf{ASC}^+{}_7$ is the sum of the positive impacts of dynamic schedules that reference confirmed NITS_F, GF_F and PTP_F reservations for the ATC path for that period. The transmission profile of the schedule is used for the schedule types of Dynamic, Capacity and Pseudo-tie.
- 770 RADJ/ETC Adjustment: BPA uses RADJ/ETC adjustments to ensure accurate 771 accounting of ETC_F. These adjustments may be performed to account for situations 772 such as data modeling corrections.

ETC _F =	NITS _F	+	GF _F	+	PTP_F
	\		\		\downarrow
	SCH⁺ ₇		SCH⁺ ₇		SCH⁺ ₇
	+		+		+
	ASC ⁺ ₇		ASC ⁺ ₇		ASC⁺ ₇
	+		+		+
	RADJ/ETC Adjustment		RADJ/ETC Adjustment		RADJ/ETC Adjustment

- FTC_{NF} is the sum of existing non-firm commitments for the ATC path as specified in WEQ-023 during that period for which ATC_{NF} is being calculated.
- 779 The section below outlines how BPA calculates ETC_{NF} for all of its paths for the beyond Real-time and the Real-time horizons.
- 781 ETC_{NF} for the Beyond Real-Time Horizon
- For ATC_{NF} calculations for the beyond Real-time horizon, ETC_{NF} is reflected as the
- following variable within BPA's ATC software:
- 784 $ETC_{NF} = RRES_{6,5,4,3,2,1}$
- Reservations are used to calculate ETC_{NF} for the Beyond Real-time horizon. When calculating ETC_{NF} for this horizon, *de minimis* impacts of reservations across flow-based paths are deemed to be zero.
- 788 Where:

773

774

- 789 RRES_{6,5,4,3,2,1} is the sum of the positive impacts of all confirmed NITS_{NF6}, PTP_{NF5}, PTP_{NF4}, PTP_{NF3}, PTP_{NF2} and PTP_{NF1} reservations.
- 791 The following diagram illustrates how the variables in BPA's ATC software correspond to the variables in the ETC_{NF} algorithm for the Beyond Real-time horizon.

ETC _{NF} =	NITS _{NF}	+	PTP _{NF}
	\downarrow		\
	RRES ₆		RRES _{5,4,3,2,1}

- 793 ETC_{NF} for the Real-Time Horizon
- For ATC_{NF} calculations in the Real-time horizon, ETC_{NF} is reflected as the following variables within BPA's ATC software:

- $ETC_{NF} = SCH^{+}_{6,5,4,3,2,1} + ASC^{+}_{6,5,4,3,2,1}$
 - To calculate ETC_{NF} for the Real-time horizon, reservations are used until schedules are received, and then schedules are used. When calculating ETC_{NF} for this horizon, *de minimis* impacts across flow-based paths are included in ETC_{NF}, regardless of whether the reservation or schedule is being used in the calculation.

Where:

 $SCH^+_{6,5,4,3,2,1}$ is the sum of the positive impacts of schedules referenced to confirmed NITS_{NF6}, PTP_{NF5}, PTP_{NF4}, PTP_{NF3}, PTP_{NF2} and PTP_{NF1} reservations, plus the sum of the positive impacts of pending and confirmed NITS_{NF6}, PTP_{NF5}, PTP_{NF4}, PTP_{NF3}, PTP_{NF2} and PTP_{NF1} reservations that have not yet been scheduled. Once these reservations are scheduled, the schedule is used for ETC_{NF}, thereby adding back the difference between the reservation and schedule amounts to ATC_{NF}. The energy profile of the schedule is used except for the schedule types of Dynamic, Capacity and Pseudo-tie.

ASC*6,5,4,3,2,1 is the sum of positive impacts of dynamic schedules referenced to confirmed NITS_{NF6}, PTP_{NF5}, PTP_{NF4}, PTP_{NF3}, PTP_{NF2} and PTP_{NF1} reservations, plus the sum of the positive impacts of pending and confirmed NITS_{NF6}, PTP_{NF5}, PTP_{NF4}, PTP_{NF3}, PTP_{NF2} and PTP_{NF1} reservations that have not yet been scheduled. Once these reservations are scheduled, the schedule is used for ETC_{NF}, thereby adding back the difference between the reservation and schedule amounts to ATC_{NF}. The transmission profile of the schedule is used for the schedule types of Dynamic, Capacity and Pseudo-tie.

The following diagram illustrates how the variables in BPA's ATC software correspond to the variables in the ETC_{NF} algorithm for the Real-time horizon.

ETC _{NF} =	NITS _{NF}	+	PTP _{NF}
	\		\
	SCH⁺ ₆		SCH ⁺ 5,4,3,2,1
	+		+
	ASC+6		ASC ⁺ 5,4,3,2,1

- **CBM**_S is the Capacity Benefit Margin for the ATC path that has been scheduled during that period.
- BPA does not maintain CBM and thus sets CBM_S at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.
- TRM_U is the Transmission Reliability Margin for the ATC path that has not been released for sale (unreleased) as non-firm capacity by the Transmission Service Provider during that period.

826 827	The description of how BPA implements TRM can be found in BPA's TRMID, which is posted on BPAs website.			
828 829	Postbacks _{NF} are changes to non-firm Available Transfer Capability due to a change in the use of Transmission Service, as defined in WEQ-023.			
830 831	The section below outlines how BPA calculates Postbacks $_{\text{NF}}$ for all of its paths for the beyond Real-time and the Real-time horizons.			
832	Postbacks _{NF} for the Beyond Real-time horizon			
833 834 835 836	BPA automatically recalculates ETC_{NF} to account for changes to Transmission Service Requests (such as request types of Recall and annulments) for the Beyond Real-time horizon. Since these types of changes to Transmission Service Requests are captured in ETC_{NF} , BPA treats Postbacks _{NF} as zero for this horizon.			
837	Postbacks _{NF} for the Real-time Horizon			
838 839 840 841 842	BPA automatically recalculates ETC_{NF} to account for changes to Transmission Service Requests (such as request types of Recall and annulments) and/or schedules for the Realtime Horizon. Since these types of changes to Transmission Service Requests and/or schedules are captured in ETC_{NF} , BPA treats Postbacks _{NF} as zero for this horizon for all paths with the exception of AC N>S.			
843 844 845 846	For ATC_{NF} calculations for the AC N>S path in the Real-time horizon, BPA uses a Postbacks _{NF} , expressed as RADJ/ETC. For its hourly AC N>S non-firm calculations, BPA posts back any unused share of non-firm capacity that is available to BPA by capacity ownership and other Agreements for the AC N>S, if needed to prevent Curtailments.			
847 848	$\label{lem:counterflows} \textbf{Counterflows}_{NF} \text{ are adjustments to non-firm Available Transfer Capability as determined by the Transmission Service Provider and specified in its ATCID.}$			
849 850 851	Since a schedule provides assurance that the transaction will flow, all counterflows resulting from firm and non-firm Transmission schedules, excluding tag types dynamic, pseudo and capacity, are added back to ATC_{NF} in the Counterflows _{NF} component.			
852 853	In BPA's ATC _{NF} calculations, Counterflows _{NF} is expressed as SCH $^{-}$ 7,6,5,4,3,2,1, which is the sum of schedules flowing in the direction counter to the direction of the path.			
854 855 856 857 858	Counterflows are modeled in the ETC Cases used to determine ETC_F for BPA's flow-based paths. In instances where the power flow study results in a negative base ETC value, BPA uses zero as the base ETC for purposes of calculating ATC_{NF} . This is done to ensure that BPA does not make capacity available as a result of counterflows that may or may not materialize in real-time			
859 860	In some cases, the amount of Counterflows $_{NF}$ exceeds the sum of the ETC $_{F}$ and ETC $_{NF}$, which, when added to TTC, results in ATC $_{NF}$ greater than TTC.			
861 862 863	Note: The variable RADJ/ETC is also used to respond to a BPA dispatcher order to change ATC values by a specified amount and thereby reduce schedules in-hour when the flow exceeds the TTC.			

Adjustments to Flow-based Path ATC Values

- There may be instances where BPA needs to perform testing in the production environment of
- BPA's ATC software, or add flow-based paths in advance of their effective date. In these
- instances, BPA will adjust its ATC values across the flow-based paths to ensure that
- Transmission Service Requests are not refused due to lack of ATC across the flow-based paths.
- 869 BPA will notify customers prior to events that require these types of adjustments to ATC
- 870 values.

864

871

VIII. Responding to Methodology/Documentation Clarifications and/or

872 Data Requests

- 873 BPA will respond to all written requests for clarification of its TTC/TFC methodology, ATCID,
- 874 CBMID, or TRMID from any registered entity that demonstrates a reliability need within 45
- days of receiving the written request. Methodology and/or documentation clarification
- 876 requests should be sent to nercatcstandards@bpa.gov with "Methodology/Documentation"
- 877 **Clarification**" in the subject line.
- 878 BPA will respond to written data requests from any Transmission Service Provider or
- 879 Transmission Operator, solely for use in the requestor's ATC or AFC calculations, within 45
- 880 calendar days of receiving the written request. For a Transmission Service Provider or
- Transmission Operator to officially request data to use in ATC or AFC calculations, the
- requestor must fill out the **Data Request Form** found on BPA's ATC Methodology website.
- The completed request form must be sent to nercatcstandards@bpa.gov with "Data Request
- 884 **Form**" in the subject line.

885 IX. ATCID Revisions

886 BPA posts this ATCID in accordance with NAESB Business Practice Standard WEQ-001.