Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Paul-Olympia No. 1 Access Road Improvement Project

PP&A No.: 3981

Project Manager: Rick Ross

Location: Thurston County, Washington

Township	Range	Section	County, State	Ownership
15N	2W	10, 11	Thurston County,	Private Individuals and
1314			Washington	Companies
16N	16N 3W 12 Thurston County,		Private Individuals and	
TOIN	3 V V	12	Washington	Companies

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):

B1.3 Routine Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to perform routine maintenance along the access roads and structure spur roads of the Paul-Olympia No. 1 transmission line, between structures 4/1 to 5/3 and 12/4 to 12/5. To maintain access to existing towers and transmission lines, BPA is proposing to add one cross-drain culvert; clean two existing culverts; add four ditch reliefs, six drain dips, and five waterbars; perform minor blading, shaping, grading, and adding rock (improvements) to approximately 7,850 feet of existing serviceable access and structure spur roads; reconstruct approximately 275 feet of road; and clear and grub 275 feet of road. General equipment used for this type of project includes mowers, graders, rollers, excavators, and dump trucks.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Kevin George</u>
Kevin George, BPA
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Date: <u>October 4, 2019</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Paul-Olympia No. 1 Access Road Improvement Project

Project Site Description

Proposed maintenance activities are located in two separate sections of the access road for the Paul – Olympia No. 1 transmission line, near Maytown, WA. Topography within the northern section (structures 12/4-12/5 of the project) is generally flat with elevation above mean sea level ranging from approximately 250 to 290 feet, whereas the southern project section (structures 4/1 to 5/3) is sloped with elevation ranging from approximately 235 to 500 feet.

Alterations to vegetation, soils, and hydrology have occurred in the past within and around the project area. Native vegetation within the project has been removed in the past for construction and maintenance of the transmission line. Soils have been compacted and fill has been placed within portions of the access road prism running through the project area; however, the majority of access paths seem to be previously undeveloped. Runoff from the surrounding landscape drains naturally to tributaries of Skookumchuck River in the southern project section and Black River in the northern project section.

Land use within the project area consists of utility line corridor right-of-way and includes dirt and gravel access roads, steel lattice transmission towers, and associated electrical lines. Adjacent land use primarily consists of timber forest, agriculture, low-density residential development, and natural open land.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation: On November 25, 2016, BPA initiated consultation with the Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, and the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP). DAHP concurred with the APE (2016-11-08469-BPA). A cultural resources review was conducted by Historical Research Associates, Inc. on January 17, 2017, for the project area; Washington DAHP concurrence on a Determination of No Historic Properties Affected was received July 5, 2017. The Nisqually Indian Tribe reviewed the report with no further concerns. No additional comments were received. In the event any archaeological material is encountered during project activities, BPA would stop work in the				
	vicinity and immediately notify the BPA environmental lead, archaeologist, and project manager; interested tribes; DAHP; and the appropriate local, state, and Federal agencies. BPA would implement reasonable measures to protect the discovery site, including any appropriate stabilization or covering, and take reasonable steps to ensure the confidentiality of the discovery site, including restricting access.				
2.	Geology and Soils	V			
	Explanation: Soil disturbance would occur within wide), at the cross-drain culvert, ditch reliefs, we cleaned. Due to wet soil conditions, work would	ater bars, and drain dips	s, and at the two culverts that would be		

	Practices (BMPs) would be used to prevent erosion farmlands would be affected.	and disturbed areas	s would be reseeded. No prime or unique
	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: Vegetation removal would be limited to the Soils section, above. No Federal or state special-sareas of soil disturbance would be reseeded. Vehicle project area to prevent the spread of invasive plant states.	status plant species s and equipment w	are mapped within the project area. All
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	<u>Explanation</u> : No suitable habitat is present in the protypical of the area would be disturbed. No state or fearea.	-	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: Several streams are located in the vicini avoided with the exception of one intermittent stream sediment. Two roadside ditches would also be cleaned removed when the stream and ditches are dry or flow impacts were approved by the Army Corps of Engine 2018-707). No fish occur these streams. No floodplain	im where existing co ed to remove accum ws would be bypass ers on July 19, 2019	ulverts would be cleaned of excess nulated sediment. Sediment would be sed to avoid downstream turbidity. These of under nationwide permit 14 (NWS-
6.	Wetlands		▽
	Explanation: Permanent impacts up to 0.095 acre of July 19, 2019, under nationwide permit 14 (NWS-201 road maintenance and cross-drain culvert installating Aquatic Resources Permit Application.	8-707). Impacts wo	uld occur from placement of fill for access
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	~	
	Explanation: Groundwater would not be affected by wells or use of groundwater proposed; maximum de		
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	~	
	<u>Explanation</u> : All proposed road improvements would transmission line and are consistent with existing lan project area.		_ ·
9.	Visual Quality	~	
	Explanation: All improvements would be at ground le immediately surrounding the transmission lines.	evel and are limited	to existing road prisms and the area
10.	Air Quality		
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emi	ssions is expected fo	or a short duration due to construction.

11. Noise	~						
<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary noise is expected for a hours.	short duration from roa	d improvement activities during daylight					
12. Human Health and Safety	~						
Explanation: No known soil contamination or h magnetic fields would result from the project.	nazardous conditions are	known. No changes to electric and					
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements							
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:							
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.							
Explanation, if necessary:							
Require siting and construction or major expar facilities (including incinerators) that are not of	• .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Explanation, if necessary:							
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, cont products that preexist in the environment such							
Explanation, if necessary:							
Involve genetically engineered organisms, synt invasive species, unless the proposed activity voperated to prevent unauthorized release into requirements, such as those of the Departmen National Institutes of Health.	would be contained or co the environment and co	nfined in a manner designed and inducted in accordance with applicable					
Explanation, if necessary:							
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination							
Description: The project is located within privately-owned tax lots. Property owners would be notified prior to construction.							

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Kevin George Date: October 4, 2019

Kevin GeorgeEPI-4

Environmental Protection Specialist