## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Ross District TLM, 2019 Priority Wood Pole Replacements

**Project Manager:** Tina Edwards, TEP-TPP-1

**PP&A No.**: 4101

**Location:** Columbia, Multnomah, and Washington Counties, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3: Routine maintenance

#### **Description of the Proposed Action:**

Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to replace deteriorating wood pole structures and any associated hardware and guys at the below locations in BPA's Chehalis TLM Maintenance District. For all structures, the work would include removing the existing wood pole structures (and guy wires if present) and replacing them with in-kind in the same location.

Where needed, the project also includes improvements to existing access roads and landings associated with the below-mentioned transmission line rights-of-way that currently inhibit access at the specified locations for routine maintenance. Dependent on the structure location and access road conditions, the project may include surface improvements of existing road surfaces and landings (blading and rocking) as well as improvements and in-kind replacements of existing drainage features.

See table below for structure names and locations on the transmission lines.

Transmission Line	Structure(s)	Township	Range	Section	Land Use
Allston-Driscoll No. 2	4/7, 5/1, 5/2	17N	3W	16	Private Timber
Keeler-Oregon City No. 2	1/1	1N	2W	23	BPA Fee
St. Johns-St. Helens No. 1	7/8	2N	1W	DC 58	Agricultural
	8/1, 8/3	2N	2W	DC 41	Agricultural, & R. R.
	15/3	3N	2W	11	Private Timber
	15/4	3N	2W	2	Rural Residential
	17/3	4N	2W	DC 44	Agricultural
	18/1	4N	2W	26	Rural Residential

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

(1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);

- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: *July 8, 2019* 

/s/ <u>Greg Tippetts</u>
Greg Tippetts EPR/Olympia
Olympia District Environmental Scientist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Ross District TLM, 2019 Priority Wood Pole Replacements

#### **Project Site Description**

All work would be done in existing managed rights-of-ways that cross private agricultural, private timber, rural residential, and BPA fee owned lands.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<b>~</b>				
	Explanation: A cultural resources survey and Section 106 consultation were completed for the project APEs. No resources were identified in the vicinity of the proposed work areas. On May 31 <sup>st</sup> 2019, BPA sent the Oregon SHPO and any potentially effected tribes, letters with the determination that the project would result in no adverse effects to cultural resources. In a written response, the Oregon SHPO concurred with BPA's determination of no effect to cultural resources. No tribes sent a response to the determination within the required thirty-day timeframe; therefore, concurrence is implied. If resources are discovered during construction activities, work would cease and the appropriate archaeological resources (BPA and OR SHPO) would be contacted.					
2.	Geology and Soils	<b>~</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Upon completion of project activities control materials. Stormwater BMPs would be us runoff and erosion issues.					
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	<b>~</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Work would occur in areas maintain may be removed at the pole location sites. No sp restored with native seed mix.	•				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	<b>~</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Work would occur in areas maintain habitat; no mapped special-status species or desi	•				

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)						
	<u>Explanation</u> None of the project sites are located near any streams or waterways or FEMA-mapped floodplains. Appropriate stormwater BMPs would be used during the project to protect the surrounding areas from runoff and erosion issues. Sites would be stabilized upon completion of project activities.						
6.	Wetlands	<b>V</b>					
	Explanation: None of the project sites are located within or near any wetlands.						
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Project activities do not have the potential to impact groundwater or aquifers, including public and private water wells or springs. All spills would be addressed immediately and follow BPA protocol for cleanup and regulatory notifications.						
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<u> </u>					
	Explanation: The project locations are confined to the existing transmission line ROW corridors. Surrounding land uses include, private agricultural, private timber, rural residential, and BPA fee owned properties. Project locations do not include any special designated areas.						
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>					
	Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities would not alter or effect visual quality. Structure replacement are in-kind and would not be visibly different from the existing structures.						
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>					
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project has a short duration and involves normal construction equipment activities. A submount of dust and vehicle emissions is expected due to construction.						
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>					
	Explanation: The project is located away from any popul would be limited to general construction equipment ac during daylight hours.	•					
12.	Human Health and Safety	<u>~</u>					
	<u>Explanation</u> : No known hazardous conditions are know stability and reliability to the service area.	n. Completion of this project would	increase system				
<b>Evaluation of Other Integral Elements</b>							
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:							
<b>V</b>	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.						
	Explanation, if necessary:						

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

**Explanation**, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

Description: Notifications via mailed letters have been sent to all current landowners approximately 3-8 weeks prior to project initiation. The letters provide contact information for BPA to allow feedback from landowners/managers concerning the proposed project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Greq Tippetts</u> Date: <u>July 8, 2019</u>

Greg Tippetts KEPR/Olympia

Olympia District Environmental Scientist